UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/527,262	10/04/2005	Klaus Vogelsang	WW030USU	2929
OHLANDT, GREELEY, RUGGIERO & PERLE, LLP ONE LANDMARK SQUARE, 10TH FLOOR			EXAMINER	
			WILLIAMS, THOMAS J	
STAMFORD, (STAMFORD, CT 06901		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3657	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/13/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Comments	10/527,262	VOGELSANG ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Thomas J. Williams	3657			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 Au	iaust 2008.				
, <u> </u>					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan		secution as to the merits is			
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>18 and 20-34</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>18,20-23 and 28</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) <u>24-27 and 29-34</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers	·				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	•				
10) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on <u>09 March 2005</u> is/are: a		by the Evaminer			
	·- · ·- ·	•			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s)	4) ☐ Interview Summary	(DTO 442)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	(PTO-413) ite				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 3657

DETAILED ACTION

1. Acknowledgement is made in the receipt of the amendment and substitute specification filed August 20, 2008.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 18 and 20-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US 3,774,735 to Hanke et al.

Re-claim 18, Hanke et al. disclose a drive unit, comprising: a hydrodynamic retarder having a rotor blade 12 and a stator blade wheel 11, the retarder is connected to the cooling system 16/17; a discharging device 25 suctions off a residual amount of the liquid medium. This is done against a pressure in the cooling system in a non-braking operation as is disclosed in the embodiment of instant figure 1.

Re-claim 20, the discharging device comprises a cylinder and is connected with the cooling circuit via a first conduit 24.

Re-claim 21, the cylinder is operatively connected at a point of highest pressure in the cooling circuit, adjacent scroll case 15 at the retarder outlet.

Re-claim 22, an adjustable throttle 33 is operably connected to the first conduit 24 with the at least one cylinder and the point of highest pressure, see the figure.

Re-claim 23, the discharging device further comprises a switchable valve 27.

Art Unit: 3657

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 6. Claim 28 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hanke et al. in view of US 2,963,118 to Booth et al.

Hanke et al. is silent regarding the location of the retarder relative to the engine and transmission. Booth et al. teach a retarder combined with an engine and transmission (intermediate engine 10 and output shaft 11), wherein the retarder is located behind the transmission in a direction of force flow. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have utilized the teachings of Booth et al. when having placed the retarder of Hanke et al. in a motor vehicle, thus optimizing the ability of the retarder to slow the vehicle as needed.

Art Unit: 3657

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 24-27 and 29-34 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments filed August 20, 2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Hanke et al. disclose in column 5 lines 4-12 that the working fluid is suctioned off by the discharge device 25 when a brake pedal is not pressed. As such it is the opinion of the examiner that Hanke et al. does in fact anticipate claim 18.

Conclusion

9. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3657

10. Any inquiries concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Thomas Williams whose telephone number is 571-272-7128.

The examiner can normally be reached on Wednesday-Friday from 6:00 AM to 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Siconolfi, can be reached at 571-272-7124. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-6584.

TJW

/Thomas J. Williams/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3657

January 8, 2009